



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/718,077

11/20/2003

Dave Dickason

CP185B

8643

27573

7590

04/14/2010

Ross J. Oehler
CEPHALON, Inc.
41 MOORES ROAD
PO BOX 4011
FRAZER, PA 19355

EXAMINER

KAROL, JODY LYNN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1627

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/14/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/718,077 | Applicant(s) DICKASON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jody L. Karol | Art Unit 1627 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jody L. Karol.

(2) Yong Chong.

Date of Interview: 8 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: 30

(3) Rona Nardone.

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-26 and 47-48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Explained to Applicant that claims 22-26 were previously cancelled in the Examiner's Amendment authorized on 1/14/2010 and thus could not be considered for rejoinder. Informed Applicant that new claims 47-48 are in condition for allowance. Offered to confirm claims 22-26 are cancel in favor of issuing a notice of allowance for the previously allowed claims and claims 47-48. Applicant declined to authorize confirmation of cancelled claims. Called Applicant a second time and explained the claims as they stand are non-compliant, and suggested Applicant file a claim amendment indicating the proper status for the cancelled claims, and indicated new claims could be added with said amendment.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yong S. Chong/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)